Introduction to Bayesian Statistics (I)

Xiaoquan (William) Wen

July 3, 2019

An Overview

- Named after Thomas Bayes (1701 - 1761)
- What is Bayesian statistics
  - a mathematical procedure that applies probabilities to statistical problems
  - provides the tools to update people's beliefs in the evidence of new data.
- Bayesian approach is trending in big data era

A BRIEF HISTORY OF BAYESIAN STATISTICS

- 1700s, Bayes' Theorem
- 1800s, Pierre-Simon Laplace formalized and popularized Bayesian inference
- 1940s, Alan Turing's Bayesian system decoded German Enigma Machine, but in general Bayesianism is considered in decline
- 1960s, revival of the Bayes' theorem: theory and computation work
- Current day practice:
  - Election prediction (FiveThirtyEight.com)
  - Aviation incidents investigations
  - Broadly used in medicine, economy and all branches of sciences

Conditional Probability

$$\Pr(A \mid B)$$

- Probability of event A given event B has occurred
- $\Pr(A \mid B) = \frac{\Pr(A \cap B)}{\Pr(B)}$
- Fundamental in probability theory and statistics

Bayes' Theorem

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr(\theta \mid \text{data}) &= \frac{\Pr(X)\Pr(\text{data} \mid X)}{\Pr(\text{data})} \\ &= \frac{\Pr(X)\Pr(\text{data} \mid X)}{\Pr(\text{data})} \\ &= \frac{\Pr(X)\Pr(\text{data} \mid X)}{\Pr(X)\Pr(\text{data} \mid X) + \Pr(X^c)\Pr(\text{data} \mid X^c)}\end{aligned}$$

- $\Pr(X)$: prior probability/distribution
- $\Pr(\text{data} \mid X)$: likelihood
- $\Pr(X \mid \text{data})$: posterior probability/distribution

Application of Bayes Theorem

If 1% of a population have a specific form of cancer, for a screening test with 80% sensitivity and 95% specificity, What is the chance that a patient has the cancer if he tests positive?

Application of Bayes Theorem

If 1% of a population have a specific form of cancer, for a screening test with 80% sensitivity and 95% specificity, What is the chance that a patient has the cancer if he tests positive?

- Sensitivity: $\Pr(\text{test} + \mid \text{cancer}) = 80\%$
- Specificity: $\Pr(\text{test} - \mid \text{no cancer}) = 95\%$

Application of Bayes Theorem

If 1% of a population have a specific form of cancer, for a screening test with 80% sensitivity and 95% specificity, What is the chance that a patient has the cancer if he tests positive?

- Sensitivity: $\Pr(\text{test} + \mid \text{cancer}) = 80\%$
- Specificity: $\Pr(\text{test} - \mid \text{no cancer}) = 95\%$

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr(\text{cancer} \mid \text{test} +) &= \frac{\Pr(\text{cancer})\Pr(\text{test} + \mid \text{cancer})}{\Pr(\text{test} +)} \\ &= \frac{0.01 \times 0.80}{0.01 \times 0.80 + 0.99 \times 0.05} \\ &\approx 13.9\%\end{aligned}$$

Application of Bayes Theorem (cont'd)

- Most positive tests ($\approx 86\%$) are actually false alarms

- But is the prior $\Pr(\text{cancer}) = 0.01$ reasonable to use here?

The Process of Bayesian Inference

The Bayesian Machinery

1. Define a parametric model (prior, likelihood)

2. Apply Bayes Theorem and compute the posterior for the parameters of interest

3. Posterior distributions contain full information of inference result

The Bayesian Philosophy

- The Bayesian inference process is a byproduct of multiple statistical principles
- They start from different perspectives and all conclude that statistical inference results should be summarized in form of posterior distributions
- This also leads to different interpretations of probabilities
  - Bayesian: probability is simply a quantification of uncertainty
  - Frequentist: probability reflects a long-run frequency

Argument 1: Coherence of Decision Making

- Need principled approach to make decision accounting for uncertainty
- Consider make a prediction, $\delta(x)$, with respect to an unknown parameter θ based on observed data x
- Coherent decision should be informed by the posterior distribution $p(\theta \mid x)$
- Inevitably, it requires a prior distribution and apply Bayes theorem

Argument 2: The Likelihood Principle

- Sufficiency Principle (S): irrelevance of observations independent of a sufficient statistic
- Conditionality Principle (C): irrelevance of (component) experiments not actually performed
  - The voltmeter story: `https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Likelihood_principle`
- Likelihood Principle (L): irrelevance of outcomes not actually observed

The Likelihood Principle (cont'd)

- (almost) All statisticians accept S and C
- It has been shown (Birnbaum, 1962) that

$$S + C \rightarrow L$$

  i.e., all data scientists should accept L
- Bayesian inference process follows the likelihood principle
- Some commonly used frequentist procedures, e.g., p-values, confidence intervals, violate the likelihood principle

ARGUMENT 3: EXCHANGEABLILITY

Bayesian inference provides more **flexible** and **realistic** modeling options

Consider tossing a coin with a sequence of outcomes : $X_1, X_2, ...$

- The random sequence is often modeled as *independent identically distributed (i.i.d)*
- Are the sequence of outcomes really independent? Note that, independence implies

$$\Pr(X_1, X_2, ..., X_p) = \prod_{i=1}^{p} \Pr(X_i)$$

$$\Pr(X_p \mid X_1, X_2, ..., X_{p-1}) = \Pr(X_p)$$

ARGUMENT 3: EXCHANGEABLILITY

Bayesian inference provides more **flexible** and **realistic** modeling options

Consider tossing a coin with a sequence of outcomes : $X_1, X_2, ...$

- The random sequence is often modeled as *independent identically distributed (i.i.d)*
- Are the sequence of outcomes really independent? Note that, independence implies

$$\Pr(X_1, X_2, ..., X_p) = \prod_{i=1}^{p} \Pr(X_i)$$

$$\Pr(X_p \mid X_1, X_2, ..., X_{p-1}) = \Pr(X_p)$$

- But the sequence of outcomes share the information on the biasness of the coin!

EXCHANGEABLILITY (CONT'D)

- A more realistic modeling assumption is to treat the sequence *exchangeable*
- Mathematically, it means $\Pr(X_1, ..., X_p)$ is invariant to the permutations of indexes $(1, ..., p)$.
- An independent sequence is obviously exchangeable, but an exchangeable sequence does not need to be independent!

De Finetti Theorem

The de Finetti theorem indicates

$$\Pr(X_1, ..., X_p) = \int \left[\prod_{i=1}^{p} \Pr(X_i \mid \theta) \right] p(\theta) d\theta,$$

for any exchangeable sequence.

- θ represents the biasness of the coin
- Conditional on θ, the sequence is i.i.d

$$\Pr(X_1, ..., X_p \mid \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{p} \Pr(X_i \mid \theta)$$

- Because θ is unknown, the probability of the sequence has to be averaged over the uncertainty of θ (a prior distribution!)

Model Exchangeability

- Requires a prior distribution
- Give rise to a *hierarchical model*
- Hierarchical model as a probabilistic generative model

SUMMARY

- What is Bayesian statistics
- The machinery of Bayesian inference
- The foundations of Bayesianism

Next time

- Apply Bayesian principle to build statistical models for data analysis problems